

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/880,341	Applicant(s) YU, ALLEN	
	Examiner Thomas Duong	Art Unit 2145	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Duong.

(2) Steve M. Perry, Reg. No. 45,357.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Perry, the attorney of record at Thorpe North & Western, LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Mr.Perry returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.